Guest Editorial

Active Learning Experiences in Engineering Education

FRANCISCO JOSÉ GARCÍA-PEÑALVO

Computer Science Department, Research Institute for Educational Sciences, GRIAL Research group, University of Salamanca, Spain. E-mail: fgarcia@usal.es

HUGO ALARCÓN

Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile. E-mail: hugo.alarcon@usm.cl

ANGELES DOMINGUEZ

Tecnologico de Monterrey, Escuela de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud, Monterrey, Mexico. Universidad Andres Bello, Facultad de Ingenieria, Santiago, Chile. E-mail: angeles.dominguez@tec.mx

Active learning is a broad concept that is used to refer to educational approaches designed to make students participate rather than passively listen, "anything course-related that all students in a class session are called upon to do other than simply watching, listening and taking notes" (p. 2) [1]. To this aim, a single methodology or a combination of techniques may be the best suitable option for a particular course and learning objectives [2, 3]. The students are actively or experientially involved in the learning process which leads to "greater student responsibility in the learning process, greater motivation and a more satisfactory final result for all those involved in the process" (p. 9) [4].

Effective implementation of active learning requires teacher preparation on pedagogical perspectives [5–8]. There are many possible forms of active learning, including: problem-based learning, project-based learning, challenge-based learning, service learning, gamification, and game-based learning. Flipped teaching approaches are also related to active learning because the passive part of the teaching process is carried out at home and the classroom time is oriented to activities rather than lecturing, sometimes connected by intermediate micro activities related to both classroom and home lessons which is the case of Micro Flipped Teaching [9].

Project-based Learning (PBL) is an instructional approach that adapts curriculum concepts and objectives through a project, representing then a "key strategy for creating independent thinkers and learners" (p. 39) [10]. Active learning through project-based learning experiences show that "high success rate in the learning outcomes allows to state that the students acquire the instrumental and systemic competences (...) as well as interpersonal skills such as collaborative work." (p. 8) [11], important for engineering processes [12] like software development and relevant for increased motivation of engineering students [13].

For this special issue, we have clustered the selected eleven papers (out of the fifty-seven received contributions) around five active learning experiences: project-based learning (PBL), flip classroom, collaborative and cooperative work, active learning in pre-college and graduate courses, and faculty development on active learning.

Project-based learning

In 2017, IJEE published an issue on The Learner in Engineering Education that included several outstanding articles on PBL, where it stands for project-based learning as well as problem-based learning [14]. The acronym PBL denoted problem-based learning since 60's [15, 16]. However, over the last ten years, that acronym has been used for both project-based learning and problem-based learning to unify the learning principles [17]. David and Marshall's paper "Epistemological Tension in Project-Based Learning: Fabricated and Propagated Knowledge through Practical and Formal Lenses" aims to characterize students' epistemological beliefs around a PBL civil engineering course. This is a qualitative study that collected data from in-class observations, group interviews (mid-point and end of course) and a survey results (beginning and end of course) of 32 students enrolled in a project-based introductory civil engineering course. It is promising that the identified initial epistemological tensions could be resolve by the end of the semester. Moreover, students found value in active learning and recognized their learning outcomes. Llorca et al.

paper "Learning Room Acoustics by Design: A Project-Based Experience" and Zhang, Xie and Li paper "Project Based Learning with Implementation Planning for Student Engagement in BIM Classes" present a teaching implementation and a framework process design of Building Information Modeling (BIM) project execution planning (PEP) for capstone with the integration of PBL and real-world project information. Innovation consisted on the integration of project-based learning in a BIM-PEP framework to broaden architecture students' understanding of acoustic problems. ICT support is also of concern when introducing active and technology-based education [18, 19], for example, Ferrandiz et al. present their finding when a new ICT tool is introduced in an active learning environment course. In particular, the authors focus on the performance consequences depending on the introduction design. This mixed methodology study used quantitative data to assess students' performance and qualitative data to gather the why of those results. Authors conclude that the earlier integration of PBL and BIM students gain a better understanding of the concepts involved.

Flipped classroom

Active methodologies like those proposed by Flip Teaching promote peer to peer learning. Due to diversity of students' knowledge and expertise, dialogic interactions between them fosters a deep concept understanding, linkage and contribution to collective intelligence establishment between students and teachers [20, 21]. However, it has been reported that MOOC model may have some limitations and constraints that limit its potential [22]. In this section, Alario-Hoyos paper entitle "Redesigning a Freshman Engineering Course to Promote Active Learning by Flipping the Classroom through the Reuse of MOOCs" uses materials produced for a MOOC for students to prepare outside the classroom (before class) to devote in-class time to discussion and deeper understanding of the concepts, as in a flipped classroom strategy. In this way, students prepare before class to devote time for hands-on and productive peer interactions during class, while for the instructor, receive information about concepts or ideas that need to be revisited or discussed further in the classroom.

An interesting variation of flipped classroom [23, 24] incorporates activities outside of the classroom that require application of knowledge that has not been discussed in the classroom yet, that is, team members collaborate in- and out-side of the classroom to continue reflecting, constructing knowledge and making decisions in a collective way. Fidalgo Blanco et al. paper "Enhancing the main characteristics of active methodologies: a case with Micro Flip Teaching and Teamwork" propose a model for teamwork that combines Flip teaching, cooperative methodology to follow the acquisition of the teamwork competency and a social network for the virtual layer.

Collaborative and cooperative work

Cooperative and collaborative work has been implemented and well documented for several decades showing that teamwork is more effective that competition and promotes an environment that enhances intrapersonal skills [25, 26]. There is research about cooperative and collaborative learning that uses technology to enhance learning [27], classroom seating to promote collaboration [28, 29] facilitate the and formative assessment [30]. The two papers discussed here present a comparison between two teaching strategies or students' cooperation through two technologies. Pastor et al. paper Learning in Engineering through Design, Construction, Analysis and Experimentation moves from a traditional classroom to a CHA-PBL (cooperative hands-on active problem-based learning) in two consecutive courses Continuum Mechanics and Strength of Materials of the third academic year of an engineering bachelor's degree. The students have already covered the theoretical basis that allow them to solve projects that combine practice, theory, simulation and experimentation in accordance to the Educating the Engineer of 2020 initiative.

The advantages of mobile devices and its applications in education [31–33] stress out the level of penetration of this technology in the classroom. Pereira's paper "Motivating users to online participation. A practice-based comparison between Moodle Forums and Telegram groups" compares and contrast student's participation in a Moodle forum versus a Telegram group to foster cooperation in the development and solution of problems. The author states that it is important to know the advantages and disadvantages of each tool to better select when, how, and for what they can be better used.

Active learning in pre-college and graduate courses

Other topic of interest is improving students' interest and development in engineering areas, before enrolling an engineering undergraduate program and after completeness of their bachelor's degree [34, 35]. The advantages of mentoring [36, 37] combines with active learning to improve student's attrition. On one hand,

Bosman et al. present a mentoring program for minority pre-undergraduate students that uses active learning techniques and participatory action research (photovoice and photo-elicitation) to improve students communication skills and increase success rate of underrepresented students (improve resiliency). On the other, Baekgaard and Lystbaek propose a framework for active learning about research in engineering education that they have implemented in a post-graduate course. This framework characterizes research design in four elements: Purpose, Research, Outcomes and Evaluation (PROE), which provides a scheme for interrelation, alignment, interaction and adjustment of research processes. These papers thus show the wide range of understanding where active learning approaches are being studied and evidenced.

Faculty development in active learning

The final covered topic is about a faculty development program in active learning using a combination of active learning techniques [38, 39] as a complement for the introduction of active learning methodologies [40, 41]. Dominguez et al. paper "Professional Development Program to Promote Active Learning in an Engineering Classroom" describes the faculty development program implemented in the School of Engineering at a private university in Chile and shares some evidence of the change in perception about teaching from the participant faculty and about learning from their students. This three-semester long program combine different individual and group activities, short and long active learning activities implementations from the participants, follow-up and observations from the instructors, uses reflection as a self-regulation tool and aims to build a community of practice to transform the culture of teaching and learning.

Finally, on behalf of all the authors and reviewers contributing to this issue, we would like to thank Professor Ahmad Ibrahim, Editor-in-Chief of the International Journal of Engineering Education, for the opportunity to contribute to the academic community. We hope you will find these outstanding articles interesting and useful.

References

- 1. R. Felder and R. Brent, Active learning: An introduction, ASQ higher education brief, 2(4), 2009, pp. 1–5.
- 2. M. Christie and E. de Graaff, The philosophical and pedagogical underpinnings of Active Learning in Engineering Education, *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 42(1), 2016, pp. 5–16.
- G. Zavala, Implementación de estrategias de enseñanza para el aprendizaje activo en cursos universitarios en instituciones con gran número de estudiantes, In J. Benegas, M. C. Pérez de Landazabal and J. Otero (Eds.). El Aprendizaje Activo de la Física Básica Universitaria, Andavira Editora, S.L., Spain, 2013, pp. 81–92.
- A. B. González-Rogado, M. J. Rodríguez-Conde, S. Olmos-Migueláñez, M. Borham and F. J. García-Peñalvo, Key Factors for Determining Student Satisfaction in Engineering: A Regression Study, *International Journal of Engineering Education*, 30(3), 2014, pp. 576–584.
- 5. A. Domínguez, G. Zavala and M. E. Truyol, Teaching mathematics using active learning: Teachers' preparation in Chile, *Proceedings of the 124th ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition*, Columbus, USA, 2017, Scopus, USA, 2017, ISSN: 21535965, https://peer.asee.org/28922.
- M. L. Sein-Echaluce Lacleta, Á. Fidalgo Blanco and F. J. García-Peñalvo, Buenas prácticas de Innovación Educativa: Artículos seleccionados del II Congreso Internacional sobre Aprendizaje, Innovación y Competitividad, CINAIC 2013, *RED. Revista de Educación a Distancia*, 44, 2014.
- M. Quezada-Espinoza, V. Del Campo and G. Zavala, Technology and research-based strategies: Learning and alternative conceptions, *Proceedings of the 2015 Physics Education Research Conference*, 2015, WOS, 2015, pp. 271-274, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1119/perc.2015.pr.063
- G. Zavala and C. H. Kautz, Implementing active-learning in an international context: A study in introductory physics, *Proceedings* of 36th European Society for Engineering Education, SEFI Conference on Quality Assessment, Employability and Innovation, 11255, Scopus, 2008.
- Á. Fidalgo-Blanco, M. L. Sein-Echaluce and F. J. García-Peñalvo, Micro flip teaching with collective intelligence, *Proceedings of Learning and Collaboration Technologies. Design, Development and Technological Innovation. 5th International Conference*, Las Vegas, USA, July 15–20, 2018, Springer, Switzerland, 2018, pp. 400–415.
- 10. S. Bell, Project-based learning for the 21st century: Skills for the future. The Clearing House 83(2), 2010, pp. 39-43.
- A. García-Holgado, F. J García-Peñalvo and M. J Rodríguez-Conde, Pilot experience applying an active learning methodology in a Software Engineering classroom. *Proceedings of Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)* 2018, IEEE, USA, 2018, pp. 940-947.
- F. J. García-Peñalvo, A. Sarasa Cabezuelo and J. L. Sierra González, Innovating in the Engineering Processes: Engineering as a Means of Innovation, *IEEE Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnologaís del Aprendizaje (IEEE RITA)*, 9(4), 2014, pp. 131–132.
- K. Jeon, O. Jarrett and H. D. Ghim, Project-based learning in engineering education: is it motivational? *International Journal of Engineering Education*, 30(2), 2014, pp. 438–448.
- N. Arana-Arexolaleiba, A. Guerra, A. Kolmos, E. Graaff and R. Lima, Guest Editorial I. The Learner in Engineering Education, International Journal of Engineering Education, 33(3), 2017, pp. 940–941.
- 15. X. Du and A. Kolmos, eds. Research on PBL practice in engineering education, Sense Publishers, 2009.
- A. Kolmos, E. de Graaff and X. Du, Diversity of PBL-PBL Learning Principles and Models in X. Du, and A. Kolmos, eds. Research on PBL practice in engineering education. Sense Publishers, 2009, pp. 9-21.
- I. de Los Rios, A. Cazorla, J. M. Díaz-Puente and J. L. Yagüe, Project–based learning in engineering higher education: two decades of teaching competences in real environments, *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(2), 2010, pp. 1368–1378.
- F. J. García-Peñalvo, Multiculturalism in Technology-Based Education. Case Studies on ICT-Supported Approaches, Information Science Reference, Hershey, PA, USA, 2013.

- M. Quezada-Espinoza, V. Del Campo and G. Zavala, Technology and research-based strategies: Learning and alternative conceptions, *Proceedings of the 2015 Physics Education Research Conference*, 2015, pp. 271-274, http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/ perc.2015.pr.063
- 20. A. J. Berlanga and F. J. García-Peñalvo, Learning Design in Adaptive Educational Hypermedia Systems, *Journal of Universal Computer Science*, **14**(22), 2008, pp. 3627–3647.
- Á. Fidalgo Blanco, M. L. Sein-Echaluce Lacleta and F. J. García-Peñalvo, Trabajo en equipo y Flip Teaching para mejorar el aprendizaje activo del alumnado. In IV Congreso Internacional sobre Aprendizaje, Innovación y Competitividad, 2017, Zaragoza, España. http://dx.doi.org/10.26754/CINAIC.2017.000001_129
- F. J. García-Peñalvo, Á. Fidalgo Blanco and M. L. Sein-Echaluce, An adaptive hybrid MOOC model: Disrupting the MOOC concept in higher education, *Telematics and Informatics*, 35, 2018, pp. 1018–1030.
- F. J. García-Peñalvo, A. Fidalgo-Blanco, M. L. Sein-Echaluce and M. A. Conde, Cooperative Micro Flip Teaching, In *International Conference on Learning and Collaboration Technologies*, Springer, Cham, 2016, pp. 14–24.
 A. Fidalgo-Blanco, M. L. Sein-Echaluce and F. J. García-Peñalvo, Micro Flip Teaching with Collective Intelligence, In
- A. Fidalgo-Blanco, M. L. Sein-Echaluce and F. J. García-Peñalvo, Micro Flip Teaching with Collective Intelligence, In International Conference on Learning and Collaboration Technologies, Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 400-415.
- 25. M. Prince, Does Active Learning Work? A Review of the Research, Journal of Engineering Education, 93(3), 2004, pp. 223-231.
- 26. C. M. Hsiung, The effectiveness of cooperative learning, Journal of Engineering Education, 101(1), 2012, pp. 119–137.
- M. Quezada-Espinoza and G. Zavala, El uso de calculadoras con sensores en el aprendizaje de circuitos eléctricos, Latin American Journal of Physics Education, 8(4), 2014, pp. 4507.1-10.
- 28. G. Zavala, A. Domínguez and R. Rodríguez, ACE: Innovative Educational Model to Teach Physics and Mathematics for Engineering Students, *Proceedings of the 120th ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition*, 23(133), 2013, pp. 1–12
- E. Campos, L. Silva, S. Tecpan and G. Zavala, Argumentation during active learning strategies in a SCALE-UP environment, *Proceedings of the 2016 Physics Education Research Conference*, 2016, pp. 64-67, http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/perc.2016.pr.011
- J. Benegas and G. Zavala, Evaluación del Aprendizaje en Física. In J. Benegas, M. C. Pérez de Landazabal and J. Otero (Eds.). El Aprendizaje Activo de la Física Básica Universitaria, Andavira Editora, S.L, Spain, 2013, pp. 179-192
- J. C. Sánchez Prieto, S. Olmos Migueláñez and F. J. García-Peñalvo, Understanding mobile learning: devices, pedagogical implications and research lines, *Revista Teoría de la Educación: Educación y Cultura en la Sociedad de la Información*, 15(1), 2014, pp. 20–42.
- 32. J. C. Sánchez Prieto, S. Olmos Migueláñez and F. J.García-Peñalvo, ICTs Integration in Education: Mobile Learning and the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), in F. J. García-Peñalvo (ed), Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Technological Ecosystems for Enhancing Multiculturality (TEEM'14), Salamanca, Spain, October 1–3, 2014, ACM, New York, USA, 2014, pp. 683–687.
- M.Á. Conde, F. J. García-Peñalvo, M. Alier, M. J. Casany and J. Piguillem, Mobile devices applied to Computer Science subjects to consume institutional functionalities through a Personal Learning Environment, *International Journal of Engineering Education*, 29(3), 2013, pp. 610–619.
- 34. E. Godfrey, T. Aubrey and R. King, Who leaves and who stays? Retention and attrition in engineering education, *Engineering Education*, 5(2), 2010, pp. 26–40.
- B. N. Geisinger and D. Raj Raman, Why they leave: Understanding student attrition from engineering majors, International Journal of Engineering Education, 29(4), 2013, pp. 914–923.
- 36. J. E. Wallace and V. A. Haines, The benefits of mentoring for engineering students, *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering*, **10**(4), 2004, pp. 377–391.
- R. Colomo-Palacios, C. Casado-Lumbreras, P. Soto-Acosta and S. Misra, Providing knowledge recommendations: an approach for informal electronic mentoring, *Interactive Learning Environments*, 22(2), 2014, pp. 221–240.
- A. Dominguez, M. E. Truyol and G. Zavala, Faculty Development Program on Active Learning for Engineering Faculty in Chile: Sharing Step, *Proceedings of the 2018 ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition*, Salt Lake City, UT June 24–27, 2018, https:// peer.asee.org/30509
- G. Zavala, M. E. Truyol and A. Dominguez, Professional development program in active learning for Engineering Faculty in Chile: First stage, *Proceedings of the 2017 ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition*, Columbus, OH June 25–28, 2017, https:// peer.asee.org/28761
- G. Zavala, H. R. Alarcon and J. Benegas, Innovative training of in-service teachers for active learning: A short teacher development course based on Physics Education Research, *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 18(4), 2007, pp. 559–572.
- 41. J. Benegas, H. Alarcon and G. Zavala, Formación de Profesorado en Metodologías de Aprendizaje Activo de la Física. In J. Benegas, M. C. Pérez de Landazabal and J. Otero (Eds.). *El Aprendizaje Activo de la Física Básica Universitaria*, Andavira Editora, S.L., Spain, 2013, pp. 193–203.

Francisco José García-Peñalvo, Full Professor of the University of Salamanca, received his BS and MS degrees on Software Engineering from the University of Salamanca and University of Valladolid and a CS PhD from the University of Salamanca. Dr. García-Peñalvo is the GRIAL (research GRoup in InterAction and e-Learning) head. His main research interests are eLearning, Computers & Education, Adaptive Systems, Web Engineering, Semantic Web, and Software Reuse. He has led and participated in more than fifty research and innovation projects. He was the Vice-Rector for Technology Innovation at the University of Salamanca from March 2007 to December 2009. He has more than 100 published papers in international journals and conferences. He has been guest editor of several special issues in top international journals (Online Information Review, Computers in Human Behavior, Interactive Learning Environments, and the International Journal of Engineering Education). In addition, he is a PC member in several international conferences and a reviewer of several international journals and the Editor-in-Chief of Education in the Knowledge Society Journal and the Journal of Information Technology Research. He is the coordinator of the Education in the Knowledge Society PhD Program at the University of Salamanca.

Hugo Alarcon is an Associated Professor of the Physics Department and Director of Teaching and Learning at Universidad Tecnica Federico Santa Maria in Chile. He is also the leader of the initiative Engineering 2030 in the pillar of Engineering Education of his institution. Hugo holds a bachelor's degree in physics from Universidad Tecnica Federico Santa Maria, and a PhD in Physics from Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile. He has been involved in several

projects focused on teacher training in active learning methods, integration of STEM in the freshmen courses and preparing engineering professors to develop research projects in Engineering Education. He has been a promoter of modeling instruction in science teaching in Latin America. His main interested areas are: faculty development, modeling instruction, scientific reasoning and STEM education.

Angeles Dominguez is a Professor of the Department of Mathematics within the School of Engineering, a researcher at the School of Humanities and Education, and the Associate Dean of Faculty Development at the School of Medicine and Health Sciences at the Tecnologico de Monterrey, Mexico. Also, she is currently collaborating with the School of Engineering at the University Andres Bello at Santiago, Chile. Angeles holds a bachelor's degree in physics engineering from Tecnologico de Monterrey and a doctoral degree in Mathematics Education from Syracuse University, NY. Dr. Dominguez is a member of the Researchers' National System in Mexico (SNI-1) and has been a visiting researcher at Syracuse University, at UT-Austin and at Universidad Andres Bello. Her passion for STEM education has led her to participate as mentor in the 1000 Girls—1000 Future program as well as in the STEM U Mentoring Program of The New York Academy of Sciences. Her main interested areas are: faculty development, interdisciplinary education in STEM, and gender issues in STEM education.