

## Contents

### Contributions in: Taxonomy of Keywords, Student Misconceptions, Presentation Slides, Design Projects, Innovation, Critical Thinking, System Thinking Skills, Empathic Design, Assessment, Blended-Learning, E-Learning, Gender, Diversity, STEM, PBL, Laboratory Capacity, Engineering Mechanics, Thermodynamics, Mathematics, Neural Networks, Aerospace, Marine Engineering, Software Engineering, Industrial Engineering, Laboratory Capacity, Simulators

|  |         |   |
|--|---------|---|
| <b>Ahmad Ibrahim</b>   | 1       | Editorial   |
| <b>Cynthia J. Finelli, Maura Borrego and Golnoosh Rasoulifar</b>   | 2–18    | Development of a Taxonomy of Keywords for Engineering Education Research  |
| <b>Gang Liu and Ning Fang</b>  | 19–29   | Student Misconceptions about Force and Acceleration in Physics and Engineering Mechanics Education                            |
| <b>Bill J. Brooks, Dedra N. Demaree and Milo D. Koretsky</b>   | 30–38   | Student Response Times to In-Class Thermodynamics Concept Questions: A Window into Students' Thinking Processes               |
| <b>Joanna K. Garner and Michael P. Alley</b>   | 39–54   | Slide Structure Can Influence the Presenter's Understanding of the Presentation's Content                                     |
| <b>Gregory M. Mocko and Blake J. Linnerud</b>  | 55–63   | Measuring the Effects of Goal Alignment on Innovative Engineering Design Projects   |
| <b>Jessica Menold, Kathryn W. Jablow, Daniel M. Ferguson, Şenay Purzer and Matthew W. Ohland</b>   | 64–83   | The Characteristics of Engineering Innovativeness: A Cognitive Mapping and Review of Instruments                              |
| <b>Lynnette M. Michaluk, Jon Martens, Rebecca L. Damron and Karen A. High</b>  | 84–99   | Developing a Methodology for Teaching and Evaluating Critical Thinking Skills in First-Year Engineering Students              |
| <b>Aharon Gero and Ofer Danino</b>   | 100–110 | High-School Course on Engineering Design: Enhancement of Students' Motivation and Development of Systems Thinking Skills      |
| <b>Diana Bairaktarova, William Z. Bernstein, Tahira Reid and Karthik Ramani</b>  | 111–122 | Beyond Surface Knowledge: An Exploration of How Empathic Design Techniques Enhances Engineers Understanding of Users' Needs   |
| <b>Inbal E. Flash Gvili, Marc J. Weissburg, Jeannette Yen, Michael E. Helms and Craig A. Tovey</b>   | 123–135 | Development of Scoring Rubric for Evaluating Integrated Understanding in an Undergraduate Biologically-Inspired Design Course |
| <b>Antonio Miguel Cruz, Adriana Rios Rincon, William Ricardo Rodríguez Dueñas, Nestor Florez Luna and Daniel Alejandro Quiroga Torres</b>            | 136–149 | The Impact of an Introductory Biomedical Engineering Course on Students' Perceptions of the Engineering Profession            |
| <b>Jordi Poch, Imma Boada, Josep Soler and Ferran Prados</b>   | 150–162 | Automatic Creation and Correction of Mathematical Problems  |
| <b>Jennifer D. Cribbs, Cheryl Cass, Zahra Hazari, Philip M. Sadler and Gerhard Sonnert</b>   | 163–171 | Mathematics Identity and Student Persistence in Engineering   |
| <b>Mary Kathryn Thompson, Christina Espensen and Line Harder Clemmensen</b>  | 172–184 | An Optimized Outlier Detection Algorithm for Jury-Based Grading of Engineering Design Projects                                |
| <b>Utku Kose and Ahmet Arslan</b>  | 185–198 | Intelligent E-Learning System for Improving Students' Academic Achievements in Computer Programming Courses                   |
| <b>Hei-Chia Wang, Yi-Jung Hsieh and Wei-Fan Chen</b>   | 199–208 | The Effect of Online Peer Assessment in Engineering Education: A Quasi-experimental Study                                     |
| <b>Kátia Gaspar, María Amparo Núñez-Andrés, Juan José Rodríguez and Francesc Jordana</b>   | 209–218 | Influence of Admission Marks on the Academic Performance of Technical Architecture Students                                   |
| <b>Anthony J. Petrosino, Katherine A. Gustafson and Prateek Shekhar</b>  | 219–229 | STEM Integration: A Study examining the enactment of prescribed Research Based Engineering Curriculum                         |
| <b>Nicola Marsden, Maren Haag, Louisa Ebrecht and Franziska Drescher</b>   | 230–245 | Diversity-Related Differences in Students' Perceptions of an Industrial Engineering Program                                   |
| <b>R. M. Gella-Marín, C. García-Hernández, J. L. Huertas-Talón and P. Kyratsis</b>   | 246–251 | Increasing Laboratory Capacity of a Gear Measurement Practical Session using Freeware Applications                            |
| <b>Mark G. Turner, Rory A. Roberts, Markus P. Rumpfkeil, James T. Vankuren, Jeffrey Bons, Timothy B. Smith, Joseph K. Ausserer and Paul J. Litke</b> | 252–271 | Thrust Vectoring Design Project at Six Universities   |
| <b>Jaime Cifuentes-Rodríguez, Ramon-Angel Fernández, Manuel Castejón-Limas and Javier Alfonso-Cendón</b>   | 272–277 | Impact of Flight Simulators on Teaching and Learning Simulink in an Aerospace Engineering Course                              |

|   |         |  |
|---|---------|--|
| <b>Viljan Mahnič</b> and <b>Anže Časar</b>                                | 278–293 | A Computerized Support Tool for Conducting a Scrum-Based Software Engineering Capstone Course                    |
| <b>José Antonio Pérez, José Antonio Orosa</b><br>and <b>Rebeca Bouzón</b> | 294–302 | A New Approach to Develop Marine Power System Simulators for Marine Engineers Teaching and Professional Training |
|   | 303     | Guide for Authors  |